

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

FILE: LIN 03 101 52286 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: APR 28 2004

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mari Johnson

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner operates a retail store, and seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel states that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184 (i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceedings before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner’s response to the director’s request; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary’s services as an accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes the I-129 petition with attachment, and the petitioner’s response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: compile and analyze financial information to prepare entries into accounts, such as general ledger accounts, documenting business transactions; analyze financial information detailing assets, liabilities, and capital; prepare balance sheets, profit and loss statements, and other reports to summarize current and projected company financial position using a calculator and computer; audit contracts, orders, and vouchers; prepare reports to substantiate individual transactions prior to settlement; establish accounting and accounting control procedures; and devise and implement a manual or computer based system for general accounting. The petitioner requires a bachelor’s degree in accounting for entry into the offered position.

The director found that the offered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation and failed to meet any of the criteria of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, counsel states that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation as it meets the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position meets any of the criteria set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for bookkeepers, accounting or financial clerks. In the *Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2002-03, (*Handbook*) at 387-390, the Department of Labor describes, in part, those duties as follows:

Bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks are an organization’s financial record keepers. They update and maintain one or more accounting records, including those that tabulate expenditures, receipts, accounts payable and receivable, and profit and loss. . . . In small establishments, bookkeeping clerks . . . post debits and credits, produce financial statements, and prepare reports and summaries for supervisors and managers.

....

More advanced accounting clerks total, balance and reconcile billing vouchers, ensure completeness and accuracy of data on accounts, . . . [and] review invoices and statements to ensure that all information is accurate and complete

Financial clerks . . . record all amounts coming into or leaving an organization. . . . Other clerks

keep track of a store's inventory

. . . .

The duties of financial clerks vary with the size of the firm. In a small business, a bookkeeper may handle all financial records and transactions, as well as payroll and billing duties.

The *Handbook* notes that the majority of financial clerk positions require at least a high school diploma, but some college is becoming increasingly important for occupations requiring knowledge of accounting. An associate degree in business or accounting is often required for accounting and procurement clerks, as well as occupations in bookkeeping. *Id.* at 387. Thus, the petitioner has failed to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1).

The job description provided by the petitioner does not indicate that: the duties of the position are so complex or unique that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree; or that they are so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) and (4). Indeed, the description provided is somewhat general in nature, and lists duties routinely performed by bookkeepers, accounting or financial clerks as set forth above. There is insufficient detail in the duties listed to conclude that the performance of the duties requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge.

The petitioner has also failed to establish that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). In support of this assertion, the petitioner submitted numerous job advertisements. Like the duties detailed for the proffered position, the duties noted on the advertisements are general in nature and do not permit an analysis of their complexity. Accordingly, it cannot be determined that the advertised duties are for positions that would qualify as specialty occupations, and they do not establish that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. Further, the listed duties for the offered position are rather routine for bookkeepers, accounting or financial clerks, and they do not appear to be so complex or unique that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. Again, the duties, as listed, are vague and lack sufficient detail to determine the complexity of the tasks to actually be performed. The petitioner does not allege that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. It is, therefore, concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations.

It should further be noted that the petitioner's reference to CIS approvals of unrelated H-1B petitions does not sustain the petitioner's burden of establishing H-1B qualification in the petition now before the AAO. This record of proceeding does not contain the entire records of proceeding in the petitions referred to by counsel. In the absence of all of the corroborating evidence contained in those records of proceeding, the AAO is unable to determine whether the referenced approvals were approved in error.

Each nonimmigrant petition is a separate proceeding with a separate record. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(d). In making a determination of statutory eligibility, the AAO is limited to the information contained in the record of proceeding. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(16)(ii). Although the AAO may attempt to hypothesize as to whether the prior approvals were granted in error, no such determination may be made without review of the original

records in their entireties. If the prior petitions were approved based on evidence that was substantially similar to the evidence contained in the record of proceeding now before the AAO, however, the approval of the prior petitions would have been erroneous. The AAO is not required to approve petitions where eligibility has not been demonstrated, merely because of prior approvals that may have been erroneous. *See, e.g., Matter of Church of Scientology International*, 19 I&N Dec. 593, 597 (Comm.1988). Neither CIS nor any other agency must treat acknowledged errors as binding precedent. *Sussex Engg. Ltd. V. Montgomery*, 825 F.2d 1084, 1090 (6th Cir. 1987), *cert. denied*, 485 U.S. 1008 (1988).

The proffered position does not meet any of the criteria of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.